

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-1672 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 18.02.2015

Anita Singla and anr.Petitioner
versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner

Ms. Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab

Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

RITU BAHRI , J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 11 dated 12.06.2013 under Sections 406/420/498-A IPC, registered at P.S. Jagraon (Mahila Thana), Distt. Ludhiana and report under Section 173 Cr.P.C , is being sought on the basis of compromise dated 09.01.2015 (Annexure P-3).

F.I.R has been registered on the statement of respondent No. 3 against the petitioners and respondent No. 4 with allegations that t he daughter of the complainant-respondent No. 2 was married to respondent N. 4 and sufficient dowry was given to the petitioner but the petitioners were not happy with the dowry articles given by the complainant. After few days of marriage, respondent No. 4 went to Canada and the in-laws of respondent No. 2 started to harass her on account of bringing less dowry. When the daughter of the complainant went to Canada her husband did not come at the Airport to receive her The petitioners demanded Rs.1 crore as dowry.

Now, the matter stands compromised between the petitioners

GAURAV
2015.02.21 14:47
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

and the complainant and respondent No. 4, vide compromise deed dated 09.01.2015 (Annexure P-3).

In compliance of order dated 19.01.2015, report of Distt. And Sessions Judge, Ludhiana has been received in this regard. As per report, statements of complainant-Ashima Gupta through her attorney Dr. Rakesh Gupta and that of accused Mohit Singla through his attorney Rajesh Kumar as well as Rakesh Singla and Mohit Singla have been recorded on 05.02.2015. Dr. Rakesh Gupta who is attorney holder of Aashima Gupta stated that he had compromised the matter with the accused persons i.e petitioners as well as respondent No. 4 on behalf of her daughter and has no grudge against them. He has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. To the same effect are the statements given by Rajnish Kumar (attorney holder of Mohita Singla) and Dr. Rakesh Singla, Anit Singla . The compromise has been entered voluntarily and without any pressure.

Consequently, in view of the status report and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 11 dated 12.06.2013 under Sections 406/420/498-A IPC, registered at P.S. Jagraon (Mahila Thana), Distt. Ludhiana , is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

04.02.2015
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

GAURAV
2015.02.21 14:47
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document