

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-16658 of 2015
Date of Decision:-14.12.2015**

Kanta Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

A.K. Goel

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Sheoran, Advocate for
Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate
for the respondent.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed the present petition for quashing of impugned orders dated 14.11.2014 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hisar and impugned order dated 23.1.2015 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hisar, whereby the application dated 1.10.2014 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner for summoning the witnesses has been dismissed.

Mr. Amardeep Sheoran, Advocate for Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent and filed memo of appearance on his behalf. Same is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent contends that since the matter has been decided by the learned trial Court, the present petition has become infructuous.

No one is present on behalf of petitioner to counter this statement.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent, present petition has been rendered infructuous.

However, in case the statement of learned counsel for the respondent is found to be contrary to record, the petitioner is at liberty to seek recalling of this order.

December 14, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE