

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-16681 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION: 22.01.2015

Ravinder Singh @ Rinku

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Harpreet Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from ASI Palwinder Singh submits that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and now the case before the trial Court is fixed for recording the statement of the accused under Section 313 Cr.P.C.

Keeping in view the stage of the trial, no ground is made out to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Dismissed.

However, keeping in view the custody of the petitioner, the trial Court is directed to make all efforts to expedite the trial.

January 22, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE