

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3068 of 2003(O&M)

Date of decision: 22.04.2015

State of Haryana

... Petitioner

versus

Phoolwati and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Gaurav Goel, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana,
for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J.

The appeal filed by the State against some monetary claims of an employee was accompanied with an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act. The delay is of 605 days and the Court found that there was no proper explanation offered except a bald statement that the delay was on account of administrative reasons. The claim relates to some amounts falling as due to an ex-employee who is not alive now. The suit itself is prosecuted by the wife and son. I do not find it proper to open the case now for reconsideration of such claims by the legal heirs of the deceased employee.

If there was a delay and the Court below was not convinced, I do not find any reason to substitute it by any further or different reasoning. The order impugned is maintained and the civil revision petition is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

22.04.2015

kv