

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-16465 of 2015
Date of decision : 07.07.2015**

Ram Parkash Gupta

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.P.S. Sldhu, AAG, Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.170 dated 02.10.2014, registered under Sections 370, 420, 120-B IPC, Police Station Bawa Khel Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Heard.

Nojawan Welfare Society lodged a complaint with the police relating to an advertisement placed in a newspaper regarding adoption of a 2½ year old girl. The mobile number mentioned beneath it was that of the petitioner.

The counsel for the petitioner urges that the petitioner used to give classified matrimonial advertisements in the newspapers and on the asking of one Gulshan Ratra, he allowed him to use his

mobile number below the advertisement and he had no concern with any child or any adoption issue. The counsel for the petitioner contends that he has nothing to do with the child nor the offence has taken place.

The State counsel informs that the mobile details of the mobile used by the petitioner was taken out and they have a C.D. conversation where the petitioner had demanded Rs.5 lacs for the child and the petitioner was not innocent and the Society had come forward as they suspected that trafficking of children was going on.

On a complaint made by the N.G.O., an inquiry had been conducted and thereafter, the FIR has been registered. The police has taken into possession the recorded conversation wherein the petitioner had demanded Rs.5 lacs for giving the child in adoption. Considering the accusations, no case for anticipatory bail is made out. The petition is dismissed.

07.07.2015

sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE