

232 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-16434 of 2014

Date of Decision: January 08, 2015

Sh. Brij Lal Gupta and another Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Report of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, has been received that the complainant has not come present to make the statement. When he was summoned, the report received that he was not residing at the given address. Even on earlier occasion also, the complainant had not put in appearance.

It being so, the present petition for quashing of the FIR No.167, dated 31.08.2002, under Sections 364, 365, 364-A, 385, 386, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Division No.8, Ludhiana, on the basis of the compromise stands dismissed at this stage.

January 08, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE