

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-16334-2015
Decided on : 31.08.2015

Randhir

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present : Mr.Rahul Vats, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.AG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that after conclusion of the trial, the petitioner has been acquitted by the learned trial Court. Therefore, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

[Naresh Kumar Sanghi]
Judge

31.08.2015
sd