

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-16305 of 2015
Date of Decision:-10.12.2015**

Sukhvir Singh @ Sukha and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Khaira, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed the present petition seeking quashing of order dated 29.4.2015 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, whereby application filed by the petitioners under Section 391 Cr.P.C. for adducing additional evidence by way of examining Sarpanch Sital Singh and Panch Kala has been dismissed.

As per office report, service is complete. However, no one is present on behalf of petitioners. Even on the last date i.e. 26.11.2015 also nobody had put in appearance on their behalf.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that in fact the present petition is liable to be rendered infructuous as the case has finally been decided by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, vide order dated 3.8.2015.

Considering the fact that the case has already been finally decided by the lower Appellate Court, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

December 10, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE