

280

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.16298 of 2015**

**Date of Decision: 25.05.2015**

**RANBIR**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs**

**STATE OF HARYANA**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Rajbir Sehrawat, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)**

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.222 dated 03.08.2014, under Sections 304, 34 IPC, P.S. Kundli, District Sonapat.

Complainant Jitender lodged the FIR with the allegation that his elder brother Virender went to irrigate the fields on 30.07.2014, there some altercation took place between him and Kuldeep on account of canal water and due to this reason he was having grudge against our family.

On 03.08.2014 Virender along with Joginder went to the fields for the purposes of putting Urea on the fields. At about 11.00 a.m. accused Satish, Kuldeep, Davender and Arun came there. Petitioner Ranbir also came there along with one boy on motorcycle.

Allegations are that all the accused started beating

Virender. Complainant and Joginder were attracted towards Virender in order to save him, then Satish and other persons started beating the complainant with *dandas* and *lathis*. Thereafter Arun and Ranbir started beating Joginder with *lathi* and *danda*.

Further allegations are that Davender caught hold of Virender and Kuldeep gave a knife blow on his left thigh. On making noise all the assailants fled away from the spot. As per postmortem report of deceased Virender two injuries were shown on his person. Injury No.1 on left thigh proved fatal whereas injury No.2 is shown to be blunt injury only.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that there is no overt act shown on behalf of petitioner except the general allegation that he along with others participated in the occurrence.

Learned counsel further states that petitioner is in custody since 08.08.2014. Out of 20 witnesses 2 prosecution witnesses have been examined. Trial may take some time to conclude.

Keeping in view the aforesaid, petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sonapat.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

May 25, 2015.

*Atik*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**