

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5394 of 1999
DATE OF DECISION:05.02.2015

Ishwar Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & anr.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Ms. Abha Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.K.Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vaibhav Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. K.K.Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she has tried her best to contact the petitioner, so as to seek appropriate instructions from him whether he intends to pursue this writ petition any further or not, but the petitioner is not coming forward.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner is fully justified to make the abovesaid statement which prima facie shows that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, instant writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, since learned counsel for the petitioner is not having latest instructions from the petitioner, liberty is granted to the petitioner to get this petition revived, if necessity arises.

05.02.2015

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**