

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P.No.6383 of 2000 (O&M)

Date of Decision: March 03, 2015

Raj Kumar Sethi & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Amit Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ravi Partap Singh, AAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Civil Misc.No.2261 of 2015

Prayer in the application is for disposing of the writ petition in terms of the order dated 3.7.2014, copy of which has been annexed with the application as Annexure P-17, vide which the pay of the applicant-petitioners has been re-fixed in the pay scale of ₹6500-10500 w.e.f. 1.1.1996 in view of the judgment rendered by this Court in Civil Writ Petition No.2534 of 1999, decided on 18.9.2012.

Notice of this application was issued to the Advocate General, Haryana vide order dated 23.2.2015.

The contents of the order dated 3.7.2014 are not disputed by the learned State Counsel.

The application is allowed and the writ petition is taken on

board for final disposal.

Civil Writ Petition No.6383 of 2000

The prayer in the writ petition is for issuance of an appropriate writ, direction or order for quashing of the orders dated 11.1.2000 (Annexure P-5) and 2.5.2000 (Annexure P-6), vide which the pay of the petitioners had illegally and wrongly been reduced. Be that as it may, the fact remains that the office of the Executive Engineer, Mechanical Division, PWD B&R Branch, Gurgaon, vide order dated 3.7.2014, has already re-fixed the pay of the petitioners.

In view of what has been observed above, the grievance of the petitioners has been redressed and there is no justification in keeping the writ petition pending. The orders dated 11.1.2000 (Annexure P-5) and 2.5.2000 (Annexure P-6) would not come into the way of the petitioners in view of the order dated 3.7.2014.

In view of the fact that the pay of the petitioner has been re-fixed, the petitioners shall be entitled to all the consequential benefits in accordance with law.

It is expected that the respondents would implement the order dated 3.7.2014 within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

However, liberty is granted to the State to seek modification of the order, if need be.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

March 03, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE