

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 16164 of 2015.
Date of Decision : 10.07.2015.

Manju Bala Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH.

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. D.S. Pheruman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Bipan Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Mayank Mathur, Advocate for the respondents
No. 7 and 8.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'Cr.P.C.') for directing the respondents not to harass the petitioner and her family members without any cogent reason at the behest of respondent No. 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that matrimonial litigation is already going on between the petitioner and respondent No. 5. This present petition has been filed so that truth may not come out.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the paper book, however, without commenting on merits of

the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 5 not to unnecessarily harass the petitioner. However, if the petitioner is required by the police authorities then she may be called after giving notice under Section 160 of Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

July 10, 2015.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE