

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.1613 of 2014

Date of Decision:20.05.2015

Ram Chander

.....Petitioner

Vs

Avtar Singh and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. assailing both the orders passed by the Courts below. The factum of offence in terms of Section 427/380/34 IPC was disbelieved by the trial Court at the time of passing of summoning order. The identities of Avtar Singh and Neeraj were sought to be established with reference to the part attributed to them in the context of damaged articles and wrongful confinement. The said version of the complainant was disbelieved by the trial Court and accused were summoned only under Section 452/323/506/148/149 IPC. Even the culpability in these offences was not believed by the trial Court and the accused were discharged. In such a situation, the decision rendered by both the

CRM-M No.1613 of 2014

Courts concurrently cannot be reappraised while invoking inherent jurisdiction of this Court which has to be exercised very sparingly.

Dismissed.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 20, 2015
SwarnjitS