

**CRM-M-16066-2015 and
CRM-M-16086-2015**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 06.08.2015

1. CRM-M-16066-2015

Satinder Pal Singh and others

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondent(s)

AND

2. CRM-M-16086-2015

Gurpreet Singh and another

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr.Amit Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-16066-2015.

Mr. Sartaj Singh Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-16086-2015.

Mr. K.S.Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

**CRM-M-16066-2015 and
CRM-M-16086-2015**

PARAMJEET SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By this judgment, I intend to dispose of CRM-M-16066-2015, titled 'Satinder Pal Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others', and CRM-M-16086-2015, titled 'Gurpreet Singh and another vs. State of Punjab and others', as in both these petitions, prayers have been made for quashing of FIR No.59 dated 13.04.2015, registered at Police Station Mehatpur, District Jalandhar, under Sections 324, 323, 341, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and cross-version recorded vide DDR No.16 dated 13.04.2015, registered at Police Station Mehatpur, District Jalandhar, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, arising out of the aforesaid FIR, respectively, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2 in CRM-M-16066-2015 and Annexure P-3 in CRM-M-16086-2015), alongwith all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

Vide orders dated 15.05.2015 passed in CRM-M-16066-2015 and dated 21.05.2015 passed in CRM-M-16086-2015, parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and the trial Court was directed to send the report.

In compliance of the aforesaid orders, the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Nakodar has submitted a consolidated report vide letter dated 12.06.2015 which indicates that parties appeared before the Magistrate and got recorded their respective statements with regard to validity of

**CRM-M-16066-2015 and
CRM-M-16086-2015**

compromise. As per the report, compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure or coercion from any corner. Now no dispute is pending between the parties.

Learned counsel for the parties state that the trial is still pending and till date, conviction has not been recorded by the Court.

Consequently, in view of compromise (Annexure P-2 in CRM-M-16066-2015 and Annexure P-3 in CRM-M-16086-2015) and keeping in view the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab, 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543, Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2014(2) R.C.R.(Criminal) 482*** and Full Bench judgment of this Court in the case of ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation, especially when this case does not fall within the category of exceptional cases where this Court should not exercise its inherent jurisdictional power to quash the criminal proceedings, as held in ***Gian Singh (supra)*** and ***Narinder Singh and others (supra)***. In the facts and circumstances of this case, it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of the criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and wrongdoer and to secure the ends of justice, therefore, it

**CRM-M-16066-2015 and
CRM-M-16086-2015**

is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end.

Both the petitions are allowed. FIR No.59 dated 13.04.2015, registered at Police Station Mehatpur, District Jalandhar, under Sections 324, 323, 341, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and cross-version recorded vide DDR No16 dated 13.04.2015, registered at Police Station Mehatpur, District Jalandhar, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, arising out of the aforesaid FIR, respectively, are hereby quashed, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2 in CRM-M-16066-2015 and Annexure P-3 in CRM-M-16086-2015), and all the criminal proceedings arising out of the said FIR and cross-version also stand quashed.

It is, however, made clear that if the proceedings already stand concluded and conviction recorded in the present case, this order shall be treated non est and, thus, will have no bearing on the conviction and sentence order.

06.08.2015
parveen kumar

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE