

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6640 of 1997 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 03.11.2015.

Gram Panchayat, Village Nangla

.....Petitioner

Versus

The Director, Consolidation of Holdings, Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Additional A.G. Punjab.

Mr. S.K. Pipat, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Manoj Pundir, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 to 29.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we find that the issue raised in this writ petition stands answered in the connected writ petitions i.e. **CWP No.17984 of 1994** and other cases decided on 12.9.2013. For the reasons assigned in the said decision, the instant writ petition is allowed; impugned order dated 4.12.1996 (P-1) is set aside. However, there shall be liberty to the lease holders to approach the Collector by way of appropriate petition for

determination of the title dispute for which we grant three months' time. Till such application is moved, status quo be maintained.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

November 03, 2015.

sandeep sethi