

112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.16051 of 2015
Date of Decision: 15.05.2015

Rosy Kaur and anr.

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohammad Imran, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that even though there is no documentary evidence to prove age of the couple but still they are entitled to seek protection qua their life and liberty.

Petitioners claim themselves to be major and have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their parents on 29.04.2015. Factum of marriage has been pleaded with reference to photographs (Annexure P-3). Petitioners feel reasonable apprehension at the hands of private respondents, who were opposing their marriage.

Precisely, for the grievance made in the present petition, petitioners have already filed a representation before Senior

Superintendent of Police, Sangrur-respondent No.2 (Annexure P-4),
but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State. Let three copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur- respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation made by the petitioners (Annexure P-4) and if required, necessary protection be provided to them if they are not required in any unlawful activity.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

May 15, 2015
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE