

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M- 15996 of 2015

Date of Decision: 15.05.2015

Hanish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has approached this Court for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to protect life and liberty of the petitioner from being invaded by respondent No.4 in collusion with respondent No.3.

The pleadings made in the petition are that family of respondent No.4 was very nice and they helped the petitioner during crises when some anti social elements attacked the petitioner and he had to leave as Mahantship of the Temple in the village in the year 2008. Monetary help of Rs.40,000/- was also advanced to the petitioner by the family of respondent No.4. According to petitioner, the said amount has already been repaid, but now the

respondent No.4 has become greedy and he wants an amount of Rs.2 lac to be paid over and above the amount already paid by the petitioner. In this context, he has already sought help from respondent No.3 who is incharge of Anti Human Traffic Unit.

Precisely on the aforesaid grievance a representation has already been made to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

At this stage Mr. Kler, DAG, Punjab accepts notice. In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity to file any reply as no prejudicial order is going to be passed against any of the party.

Respondent No.2 is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner. He may adopt any mechanism to extract truth from the allegations. If the version of the petitioner is found to be trustworthy, then necessary order be passed in the context of providing protection qua life and liberty.

Disposed of.

May 15, 2015
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE