

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 9254 of 1995 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.05.2015

R.P. Sood

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
for the State.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks quashing of the impugned advice Annexure P-7 and for issuance of directions to the respondents to release the outstanding retiral dues i.e. Commutation of pension and gratuity along with interest @ 18% p.a., upto the date of actual disbursement.

Called twice. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Even, on the last two consecutive dates, the situation was no different.

The learned State counsel has placed on record certain documents, which are taken on record as (Mark 'A' colly.), to

contend that the competent authority, after considering the enquiry report, converted the proceedings against the petitioner under Rule 7 to under Rule 8 of the Haryana Civil Services (Punishment & Appeal) Rules, 1987. The punishment was converted into censure. He further informs that during the pendency of the present petition, all the retiral benefits, death cum retirement gratuity etc., has already been paid to the petitioner.

In view of the above position, the present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. However, as this petition is being disposed of in the absence of learned counsel for the petitioner, if any grouse still survives, the petitioner shall be at liberty to get revive the present petition, within six months from today.

13.05.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE