

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 15943 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.05.2015.**

Sachin Tyagi Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana, for the respondent.

Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate, for the complainant
with complainant – Anul Kumar in person.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the complainant is taken on record.

It is mentioned in the petition that an agreement dated 12.03.2013 was executed between the petitioner and Anil Kumar – complainant. However, on perusal of the original agreement and the vakalatnama filed for Anil Kumar today, it is found that the signatures of Anil Kumar on both the documents are different.

The complainant is present in Court. The original agreement has been shown to complainant – Anil Kumar, but he denied the execution of the same.

Counsel for the complainant has also filed copies of other complaints against the petitioner for interrupting the examination at various places and demanding money.

The relief of anticipatory bail is a discretionary relief and should be given sparingly.

In view of the facts and circumstances delineated above, the present petition is dismissed.

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

19.05.2015
Ramesh