

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-15920 of 2015
Date of decision : 20.05.2015

Parveen @ Reenu

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner**

Mr. Deepak K. Grewal, DAG Haryana

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 822 dated 05.09.2014 registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat under Section 356/379/323 IPC and later on Section 394/34 IPC was added.

An FIR was registered against unknown persons. The allegations were that when the complainant was proceeding towards Sector 29, three boys came on a motorcycle and snatched the mobile and Rs. 50/-. The police filed an untraced report on 26.11.2014. Subsequently, in December 2014 the petitioner and Ramesh were arrested and their disclosure statements were recorded. The case of the prosecution is that recovery of mobile was effected from Ramesh and recovery of Rs. 1000/- was effected from Parveen, which was the sale consideration received by him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has been falsely implicated and investigation is over and challan has been

submitted. Learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions states that the address given in the record is his permanent address and there is no other case pending against him.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ESI Prem Singh submits that there is no other case pending against the petitioner.

Without commenting on the merits of the case and considering the fact that trial will take long time to conclude, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

May 20, 2015

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**