

213-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15849 of 2015

Date of decision: August 12, 2015

Amar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.12 dated 08.04.2015, under Sections 420, 406, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Non Resident Indian PS, District Moga.

Prosecution story in brief is that the complainant- Didar Singh had executed a Power of Attorney in favour of the petitioner with regard to civil litigation of land situated in village Bughipura, whereas, petitioner had sold the land of the complainant of village Khera Swadh and village Bughipura to his wife. Wife of the petitioner had later sold the land to the other accused.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner was ready to return 1 Kanal 8 Marlas of land situated in village Bughipura to the complainant, whereas, the complainant wanted that the petitioner should transfer 16

Kanals of land in favour of the complainant.

Learned State counsel, who is assisted by the counsel for the complainant, on the other hand has opposed the petition and has submitted that, although, the petitioner has joined investigation, but keeping in view the seriousness of allegations levelled against the petitioner, this petition was liable to be dismissed.

In the present case, the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature. The case of the complainant is that he had executed Power of Attorney in favour of the petitioner with regard to any dispute pending in the civil Court qua his land situated in village Bughipura, whereas, petitioner had sold the land belonging to the complainant to his wife. Wife of the petitioner had further sold the land to the other accused.

Keeping in view the seriousness of allegations levelled against the petitioner, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

August 12, 2015

mahavir