

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-1584 of 2015

Date of decision: 13.03.2015

Baljinder Kaur @ Balwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
for the State.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.121, dated 19.09.2014, registered under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Ismailabad, District Kurukshetra.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the compromise has been reached between the parties. The co-accused of the petitioner i.e. her husband and two sons have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the trial Court.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

prayer made and submits that only 11 persons are the signatory to the compromise whereas remaining persons have not been mentioned in the compromise.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

Perusal of the record would reveal that this is the second bail application and the earlier one had already been dismissed, vide order dated 09.12.2014 (Annexure P-2). The petitioner instead of surrender to the process of the Court has moved the second bail application.

Keeping in view the above, no case for the relief sought is made out.

Dismissed.

13.03.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE