

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15811-2015
Date of decision: 19.10.2015

Krishan Kumar Malhotra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Kulwinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Rajbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms.Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab.
Mr.Anil Shukla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner sought and was granted time to address his arguments on the maintainability of this petition, because petitioner has admittedly not availed his equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned order.

Today learned proxy counsel seeks time on the ground that learned arguing counsel for the petitioner is in personal difficulty.

In view of the above, instant petition is disposed of, relegating the petitioner to his equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned order, at the first instance, with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court again, if necessity arises.

Disposed of, accordingly.

19.10.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE