

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-15849 of 2014

Date of Decision: April 07, 2015

Santosh @ Jaismeen

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms.Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G, Pb.

Mr.Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that present petition under Section 340 Cr.P.C is not maintainable. Infact enquiry has been conducted by respondent No.3. It has been found that respondent No.4 has contracted second marriage. However, no case could be registered, offence being non-cognizable in nature. I find merit in the stand of learned State counsel. No further adjudication of the matter is necessary. Petitioner is at liberty to avail remedy available to her under the law.

Dismissed.

**(Rajan Gupta)
Judge**

April 07, 2015
BB