

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-15735 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision : June 30, 2015

Deepak Kumar @ Deep Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

1. Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Bhajan Singh Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 127 dated 14.08.2012 registered at Police Station Daba, District Ludhiana under Sections 302, 506, 148, 149 IPC.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 14.08.2012 and the trial is taking long time. He further contends that petitioner is not the main accused and co-accused with similar allegations have been allowed bail.

Custody certificate has been placed on record.

Learned State counsel has opposed the bail application and urges that Rakesh Kumar had fired at the deceased and the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR and he had fired two shots

in the air so that no one could come forward to rescue Pinku.

The petitioner seeks parity with Sunny who had been allowed bail. The petitioner is not entitled to any parity as the role attributed to the petitioner and Sunny are different. The petitioner was armed with a pistol and had fired shots and had raised lalkara and because of shots the persons present ran away and it enabled the main accused to kill Pinku.

The allegations against the petitioner are serious. No case for bail is made out. However, the trial Court would expedite the trial and decide the case within five months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

The petition is dismissed.

A copy of the order be sent to the Court concerned.

June 30, 2015

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**