

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-15603 OF 2015
DATE OF DECISION : 13th MAY, 2015

Lalit

.... Petitioner

Versus

Preeti & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

* * * *

Present : Mr. Yash Dev Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * * *

SURINDER GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the trial Court has allowed interim maintenance @ ₹2,000/- to the wife of petitioner and ₹1,000/- to the son of petitioner, without looking into the fact that the petitioner, due to an accident in the year 2009, is suffering from mental disease.

The above fact that the petitioner has met with an accident in the year 2009 was noticed by both the Courts below. There is no certificate from the doctor that the petitioner is having any mental disability. Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to a prescription slip wherein it is mentioned as follows:

*“poor compliance & follow up at present,
memory lapses, behavioural changes”*

The above endorsement on the prescription slip is not indicative of any mental disorder or incapability of the petitioner to earn livelihood for himself and his family.

In view of the above, this petition has no merit.

Dismissed.

13th May, 2015
‘raj’

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE