

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-15580 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 28, 2015

Gurdev Singh Bhullar

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. K.S. Nalwa, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Surinder Gupta, J

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is producing material evidence, he has to produced before SIT and has placed on record closed envelope which he submits contains two V.C.Ds one of the incident which was recorded in the hotel where it had taken place and other of the wife, son and brother of the deceased. Copy of these VCDs has also been given to learned State counsel who has handed over the same to SI Tarsem Singh present in Court in this case. No other evidence is to be produced by petitioner before SIT, constituted to investigate the case.

Learned State counsel submits that the incident had taken place in a hotel where a function was going on. The Investigating Agency has already collected three VCDs of the incident from different sources i.e. from the hotel authorities, organizer of the function and one from the Press, which are being analysed during investigation. Learned State counsel further submits that statement of the petitioner was also recorded by the police along with statement of number of other witnesses.

He further submits that the petitioner is not required so far as accused in FIR No.99 dated 28.3.2015 registered at Police Station Civil Lines Amritsar.

The material evidence as given by the learned counsel for the petitioner is taken on record and also supplied to the Investigating Agency with the direction to look into relevance of the same.

In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel that the petitioner is not required by police in this case, the relief seeking to restrain respondents No.1. To 4 from implicating petitioner in this case has

become infructuous. Other relief sought was to produce material evidence in possession of petitioner, which the petitioner has supplied today to investigating agency. It is not disputed that statement of petitioner has already been recorded. No other relief claimed or pressed.

This petition is dismissed as no further action is required or pressed.

(Surinder Gupta)
Judge

May 28, 2015
deepak