

**216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-1551 of 2014

Date of Decision: February 18, 2015

Prabhu Dayal and others

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Narinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Satish Saini, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. D.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 for the quashing of the FIR No.66, dated 20.02.2013, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, 406 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Faridabad Central, District Faridabad along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Report of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridabad, has been received. It is reported that the statements of the complainant as well as the accused has been recorded and they

have compromised the matter. The learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Faridabad, is of the opinion that the compromise effected between the parties is without any threat or pressure from either side and is valid.

A perusal of the FIR shows that there was a dispute regarding money on account of business dealing.

Since, the parties have entered into a compromise, therefore, the FIR in question along with all the consequential proceedings stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly allowed.

February 18, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE