

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.15371 of 2015
Date of Decision: 12.05.2015

SUKHDEV SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. H.S. Rakhra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents to take appropriate action against the private respondents in the context of committing fraud by them by promising guaranteed employment in the Bank against deposit of amount of Rs.3,00,000/- each.

Petitioner has highlighted number of instances in which the aforesaid amount was swallowed by private respondents. Petitioner has already resorted to filing representation before the Police Authorities on 24.03.2015 and, therefore, compliance of Section 154 Cr.P.C., stands complied with.

Notice of motion.

At this Stage, Mr. Arshdeep S. Kler, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of official respondents.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing reply at this stage.

At this state, without commenting upon veracity of allegations respondent No.3 is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner. Respondent No.3 would also be at liberty to devise his own fact-finding mechanism. In case allegation of petitioner is found to be having any substance, then respondent No.3 may act in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 12, 2015

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**