

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15358 of 2015  
Date of Decision: 28.05.2015

Simran @ Seema and another

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Wadhawan, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SNEH PRASHAR, J. (Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case First Information Report No.15 dated 05.03.2015 under Sections 306 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC) registered at Police Station Islamabad, Amritsar.

2. The instant case was registered on the complaint lodged by Raj Kumar s/o Late Raghunath. In the complaint it was alleged that on 05.03.2015 Simran @ Seema-petitioner No.1 alongwith her mother-Usha came to his house and insulted his son. They also issued threats to the son of the complainant and said that they would feel relaxed only when he dies. Thereafter at about 7:00 pm the complainant saw that his son had committed suicide.

3. Heard the submissions made by Mr. Sandeep Wadhawan,

Advocate representing the petitioners and Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG,  
Punjab representing State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners argued that petitioner No.1-Simran @ seema during her stay with the complainant and his deceased son-Gopal, was harassed and maltreated. When she was pregnant she was given severe beatings by her mother-in-law on account which she suffered miscarriage. Sensing trouble for his misdeeds Gopal-deceased became nervous and committed suicide and the petitioners were falsely implicated by complainant-father-in-law.

5. The prayer was opposed by learned State counsel and he prayed for dismissal of the present petition. There is serious allegation of having abetted commission of suicide by Gopal son of the complainant.

7. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and there being specific allegations against the petitioners, in my considered opinion, no case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made out and the petition is hereby dismissed.

**May 28, 2015**  
rashmi

**(SNEH PRASHAR)**  
**JUDGE**

