

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.15100 of 2015

Date of decision: 3rd November, 2015

Sushil Gupta and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. J.K. Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 14.08.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Karnal has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Parul Gupta and the accused persons namely Sushil Gupta, Pushpa Gupta and Kedar Nath Gupta, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure matrimonial dispute, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.455 dated 08.05.2013 registered at Police Station City Karnal under Sections 406/498A/323/506 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 3, 2015

rps