

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-15025 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 18.09.2015

Asha Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of directions issued by this Court on 8.5.2015, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the challan has also been presented.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State has affirmed the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner but opposes the bail on the ground that her name was found mentioned in the suicide note as well as in the statement made by wife of the deceased.

The allegations of abetment is matter of evidence, which can be seen during trial. Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and the suicide note is in the custody of the police, which is now part of the challan, the interim order passed by this Court on 8.5.2015 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 18, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE