

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-15012 of 2015
Date of Decision:-04.8.2015**

Daljit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Ms. Poonam Joan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for direction to the respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to provide them adequate security under the supervision of some senior officer during travelling for their production in different Courts.

Learned State counsel has filed a short reply by way of affidavit of Harvinder Singh Virk, PPS, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Rural, Patiala, on behalf of respondent No.4. Para 3 of the reply, which is relevant for the disposal of the petition, read as under :-

“3. That the petitioners are the accused in case FIR No.77 dated 8.5.2012, under Sections 302, 307, 120-B, 148 and 149 IPC and Section 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Basti Bawa Khel Commissionerate, Jalandhar and FIR No.55 dated

18.3.2015, under Sections 399, 402, 465, 468, 471, 473 and 120-B IPC and under Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Patiala and are under judicial custody at Central Jail, Patiala. As and when any information receives from any of the jail authority for the production of Petitioner No.1 Daljit Singh or Ranbir Singh-petitioner No.2 in different learned Courts in Punjab State for hearing of cases, which are pending under trial against both the accused, despite acute shortage of police personnel in district police necessary police escort of 1-NGO and 4-ORs fully ammoniated are deputed for producing them in the learned Courts and vehicle (Prison Van) in good condition is also provided to the police party to deputed so that no untoward incident may occurred during travelling for producing them in different Courts. Necessary instructions regarding production of under trial accused in learned Court has also been given to the escort police party before Departure.”

In addition to the aforesaid reproduction part, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sukhraj Singh submits that as and when the petitioner is produced before the judicial Courts, adequate security is provided to him.

In view of the abovesaid as put forward by learned State counsel and in view of para 3 of the reply, no direction is required to be issued in the case by this Court.

Dismissed.

August 04, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE