

CRM-M-14846 of 2015

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14846 of 2015.

Date of Decision: 03.08.2015.

Sher Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

This is the 3rd petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 90, dated 06.03.2014, under Sections 379, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Mahendergarh,

District Mahendergarh.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

There are serious allegations against the petitioner that the petitioner in connivance with Naib Tehsildar and other co-accused have wrongly mentioned khasra No. 83//11/2, 12/2, 13/2, 14/2, 15/2, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20, as a result of which, the mustard crop standing in the aforesaid khasra numbers was got reaped. Even the recovery of the combine is yet to be effected. Bail application of co-accused Rattan Lal has already been dismissed by this Court in CRM-M No. 2374 of 2015.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, therefore, no ground for indulgence by this Court is made out.

Dismissed.

Nothing observed herein shall amount to an observation on the merits of the case.

August 03, 2015.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE