

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-14822 of 2015
Date of Decision: 07.05.2015**

Poonam Rani and anr. ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and ors. ... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. R.P. Daaria, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. In this petition, petitioners have prayed for protection of life and liberty. Necessary directions are sought, directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to provide security to the petitioners from private respondents No.4 to 7. Petitioners claimed that they are major and have solemnized marriage on account of love affair on 04.05.2015 against the wishes of their families. Now they apprehend danger to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents who are stated to be present in Court premises.

2. Notice of motion.

At this stage Mr. Ripu Dhaman, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State-respondent Nos.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass no reply is required to be filed.

Precisely in the given context, petitioners have already approached the Superintendent of Police Ambala/respondent No.2 by way of representation dated 04.05.2015. This Court does not wish to embark upon validity of marriage, but at the same time inclined to direct the respondent No.2 to have fair look at the representation so made by the petitioners. Respondent No.2 will be at liberty to devise his own fact finding mechanism to extract veracity of allegations. If respondent No.2 comes to the conclusion that the apprehension of the petitioners is well founded, then respondent No.2 would be obligated to take remedial steps in order to prevent misuse of law and grant necessary protection to the petitioners, if they are not involved in any other criminal activities.

3 At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a number of persons from the complainant side have come to Court and the petitioners feel reasonable apprehension in respect of their life and liberty. In view of this, Station House Officer Sector-3 Police Station, Chandigarh is directed to provide safe passage to the

petitioners upto their local destination. Thereafter, respondent Nos.2 and 3 would be obligated to act in accordance with law in order to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

4 At this stage, learned counsel for the complainant shows willingness on the part of respondent Nos.4 to 7 to meet the petitioner No.1 in very congenial atmosphere with a clear understanding that they will not cause any harm to the persons of petitioners. Liberty is given to respondent No.2 to consider the request of private respondents and if viable necessary course can be adopted.

Disposed of accordingly.

May 07, 2015
prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE