

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-1477 of 2015

Date of Decision: 16.01.2015

Bittu Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Present:- Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, in case, FIR No.74 dated 21.08.2013 registered under Sections 302, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Jaitu, District Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that initially, the FIR was registered under Sections 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC. Thereafter, a supplementary statement of the complainant-Baljit Singh was recorded on 21.08.2013 as during treatment, injured Jaswinder Singh had died and offence under Section 302 IPC was added on 23.08.2013. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioners were found innocent during investigation and were kept in column No.2 of the final report. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to join investigation and nothing is to

be recovered from them. Learned counsel further submits that even in the statement of Baljit Singh-complainant, while appearing as PW-1, no specific role has been attributed to the present petitioners.

Heard the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioners and perused the allegations levelled in the FIR as well as statement of Baljit Singh-complainant.

In the statement of complainant-Baljit Singh, the petitioners have been shown to be armed with *iron pipe* and inflicted injuries on the person of Jaswinder Singh. Even motive for causing injuries has also been mentioned. After summoning, the petitioners are at par with other accused, who are facing trial.

Keeping in view the seriousness of offence under Section 302 IPC and as specific role has been attributed to both the petitioners, no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail to them.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

16.01.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE