

113

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.14690 of 2015
Date of Decision: 06.05.2015

MAHAVIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of directions to respondent No.1 to 5 against private respondents in terms of Sections 18 and 31 of the Representation of People Act as well as under different provisions of Municipal and also under Penal Act on account of their alleged enrolment as a voter at different places by way of impersonation and other illegal means.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana accept notice on behalf of official respondents. Let five copies of petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass at this stage, there is no necessity of filing any reply as no prejudicial order to the interest of anyone is being passed.

In view of nature of allegations, respondent No.3 is directed to have fair look at the representation of the petitioner dated 18.03.2015 (Annexure P-4).

Respondent No.3 would be at liberty to device fact finding mechanism so as to enable himself to pass appropriate order on the representation so made by the petitioner. In the event of finding some worth, respondent No.3 would be obligated to take all remedial steps in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 06, 2015

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE