

CRM-M-14640 of 2015
Date of decision: May 21, 2015

Kiran Singh @ Leena @ Kanchan ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

AND

CRM-M-15085 of 2015
Date of decision: May 21, 2015

Poonam @ Chunchan and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana **....Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Santosh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner (*in CRM-M-14610-2015*).

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners (*in CRM-M-15085-2015*).

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakshi, Addl.A.G, Haryana.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

These are two separate petitions under Section 439 of Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.' only) for grant of regular bail bearing *CRM-M-14640 of 2015 and another bearing CRM-M-15085 of 2015*, as both these petitions are outcome of one and the same FIR No.244 dated 08.04.2015, under Sections 4, 5 and 7 of Immoral Trafficking (Prevention) Act (for brevity 'Act' only), registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal, District Karnal.

The instant cases stand registered on the basis of a secret information received by Inspector-SHO of Police Station Civil Lines,

Karnal to the effect that illegal prostitution activities are being carried on inside Dawat Hotel near Dayal Singh College, Karnal. A lady named as Kajol, while in league with the Manager of the Hotel is engaged in calling outside girls to get them indulge in prostitution activities. Subsequently, a trap was laid and Kajol was intercepted. Besides her, petitioner-Rajesh along with Kiran Singh @ Leena @ Kanchan and some other boys and girls were recovered, and taken into custody. Petitioners namely Poonam @ Chunchan and Rajesh son of Mahinder (now confined in District Jail,) are husband and wife. There is a debatable question whether the provision of Sections 4, 5 & 7 are attracted in this case. Offences complained of against the petitioners are also triable by Magistrate. Petitioners are behind the bar since the date of their arrest i.e. 08.04.2015. Challan is yet to be presented, the disposal of which is likely to take sufficient long time.

Taking into consideration all these aspects coupled with the fact that disposal of the case is not likely in the near future, this Court deems it a fit case to extend them concession of bail. Accordingly, both petitions are allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds at the satisfaction of the Jurisdictional Magistrate/trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Karnal.

May 21, 2015
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE