

IN THE COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.7945 of 1995

Date of Decision: 12.02.2015

Satinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Punjab and others

..Respondents.

Present:- Mr. D.S.Patwalia, Sr.Advocate with
Mr. B.S.Patwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG Punjab.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (ORAL)

Prayer made in the petition was for appointment of the petitioner as “A” Class Naib Tehsildar in accordance with the Policy envisaging appropriate employment for the riot victims.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he is unable to contact his client and is, thus not in a position to state as to whether the issue of appointment had any relevance today particularly in terms of the policy upon which reliance has been placed.

In this view of the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner has no instructions to argue the matter or even to state as to whether any cause survives. I thus, deem it appropriate to dispose of the petition as having outlived its cause but with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in the eventuality of his having any surviving cause.

12.02.2015

Meenu

**(Mahesh Grover)
Judge**