

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM M-14605 of 2015
Date of Decision: May 13, 2015

Amar Singh Sidhu

... Petitioner

Versus

Jaspal Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in complaint case No. 821/13, dated 06.04.2013 titled as “Jaspal Singh vs. Amar Singh Sidhu”, under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ludhiana.

In view of the fact that offence is bailable, anticipatory bail application cannot be entertained in such cases. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court due to medical problem and his arrest warrants was issued. Against that an opportunity was provided to the petitioner to appear before the trial Court by learned Additional Sessions Judge, but in spite of furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, learned trial Court did not accept

the same. Learned counsel contends that despite submissions of bail bonds and surety bonds, neither interim relief has been granted nor any order has been passed. Learned counsel further submits that now another Presiding Officer has taken over charge of the trial Court, so the petitioner can appear before the trial Court and his bail bonds and surety bonds may be directed to be accepted.

In these circumstances, this Court deems it fit and proper to grant one last opportunity to the petitioner to appear before the trial Court. Ordered accordingly. Petitioner may appear before the trial Court and submit his bail bonds and surety bonds within a week from today.

With the above observation, instant petition is disposed of.

May 13, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge