

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14355-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 4, 2015

Amrik Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.F.S.Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.P.S.Paul, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Jagnahar Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the State, assisted by learned counsel for the informant-respondent No.2, submits that after filing of the report under Section 173, Cr.P.C., learned trial Court has taken cognizance and even the charges have been framed.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition to enable the petitioner to take recourse of the alternative remedies available to him under law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

September 4, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE