

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**Crl. Misc. No. M-14216 of 2015
Date of decision : 26.05.2015**

Rahul

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. Shailender Mohan, Advocate
for the petitioner**

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG Haryana

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

This is second petition filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail in FIR No. 569 dated 19.08.2014 registered at Police Station City Hansi, District Hisar under Sections 307/302/34 IPC.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and no recovery was effected and the first petition was moved before the statement of complainant had been recorded and there is change in the circumstances. He urges that an application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. has been filed and the trial will take long time to conclude.

Learned State counsel submits that the complainant had alleged that Rahul, Sahil and Dara Singh alongwith four persons had attacked and Rajesh and the complainant had stated that they could recognize the accused if they came before them. It was urged that private witnesses are yet to be examined and Subhash had identified the petitioner.

The allegations against the petitioner and rest of the accused are serious. The case is at the initial stage of trial. The statement of the complainant has not been completed yet. The trial Court had expressed its apprehension that the petitioner would tamper with evidence.

I am not inclined to grant bail. The petition is dismissed.

May 26, 2015

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**