

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-14140 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.5.2015

Pawan

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

This order shall dispose of the instant petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C praying for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.230 dated 16.10.2014 under Sections 323, 324, 506, 307 read with section 34 I.P.C registered at Police Station, P.G.I.M.S., Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

The alleged occurrence is stated to have taken place on 11.10.2014 in which the complainant Tarun has suffered simple injuries and Bunty has suffered injuries on his left shoulder/chest and such injury has been opined to be dangerous to life and thereby attracting offence under section 307 I.P.C.

As per complainant's version, who was himself an eye witness as also injured in the alleged occurrence, one co-accused Dinesh had caught hold of the hands of Bunty and the present petitioner had then inflicted knife blows.

It has gone uncontroverted that during the course of investigation Dinesh against whom there were specific allegations, has been found to be innocent.

Learned State counsel further apprises the Court that the investigation in the present case having been completed, challan was presented on 23.3.2015 and thereafter even charges have been framed. The trial, as such, is at the very initial stage.

It would be apposite to take note of the fact that learned counsel appearing for the injured Bunty makes a statement that a compromise has since been thrashed out between the parties. He further submits that the present petitioner as also injured Bunty are otherwise closely related to each other.

The petitioner has been in custody since 27.1.2015.

In the totality of circumstances, petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail. Petition, accordingly, is allowed.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of C.J.M., Rohtak.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

May 07, 2015
lucky