

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-14122 of 2015

Date of decision :01.05.2015

Nagma and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Ms.Shamita Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondent No.4 and 5.

Both the petitioners are present in the Court. The petitioners have already submitted the representation dated 29.04.2015 to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr.Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab has accepted the notice. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to learned AAG, Punjab by the learned counsel for the petitioners in the Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on

29.04.2015. Affidavit of petitioner no.1 regarding her date of birth has been placed on record as Annexure P-1. Copy of Adhar Card of petitioner no.2 regarding his date of birth has been placed on record as Annexure P-2. Affidavit of petitioner no.1 regarding changing of religion and public notice have also been placed on record as Annexure P-3 and P-4. Certificate (Annexure P-5) in this regard has been issued by Prachin Gurudwara Dashmesh Pittah Patshae Dashveen, Sector-2, Panchkula. Photographs of marriage ceremony are annexed as Annexure P-6. He has further submitted that the petitioners have moved a representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar-respondent No.2 (Annexure P-7) but no action has been taken so far.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar to consider the representation moved by the petitioners and take necessary action in accordance with law for the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, if he found the threat perception to their life. It is made clear that this order has nothing to do with the question regarding the validity of the marriage between the petitioners.

01.05.2015

S.khan

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE