

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-14064-2015
Decided on : 16.07.2015

Pardeep Kumar alias Chautala

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Mr.Ivneet Singh Pabla, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Hari Pal Verma, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner namely, Pardeep Kumar alias Chautala in case FIR No.430 dated 6.11.2014, registered under Sections 120-B, 365, 392, 397, IPC at Police Station Shahbad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that apart from the fact that other co-accused namely, Anil Kumar alias Munna and Ram Kishan have been granted regular bail by this Court in **CRM-M-516 of 2015** and **CRM-M-4481 of 2015** vide order dated 14.01.2015 and 18.02.2015, the case of the petitioner is required to be considered in the light of the aforesaid orders. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 8.11.2014 and the only difference between the case of petitioner and the co-accused, namely, Anil Kumar alias Munna and Ram Kishan is of the factual

matrix recorded in the FIR as Section 397 IPC has been added later on.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI-Balbir Dutt, though does not dispute the bail granted to the co-accused namely, Anil Kumar alias Munna and Ram Kishan by this court, but contends that in the present case, knife was recovered from the petitioner. Therefore case of the petitioner cannot be considered in parity with Anil Kumar alias Munna and Ram Kishan.

Heard.

Perusal of the FIR shows that FIR was registered under Sections 120-B, 365, 392 and 397 IPC, and therefore, I feel that there is hardly discrimination with the case of the petitioner vis-a vis Anil Kumar alias Munna and Ram Kishan.

In view of the above, present petition is accepted and the petitioner is admitted to regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

It is made clear that nothing observed herein shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the learned trial court shall conduct the trial independently.

Petition is disposed of.

[Hari Pal Verma]
Judge

16.07.2015
sd