

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-14048 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 1.5.2015

Rashpal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Tarunveer Vashist, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This is second bail application filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 197 dated 2.9.2014, registered under Sections 420/120-B IPC at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala.

This Court, vide order dated 30.10.2014, passed in CRM No. M-36344 of 2014, had dismissed the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

The order of the learned Sessions Judge, Patiala, dated 17.4.2015, shows that three times, the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner was dismissed. Still, the petitioner has not been arrested. It goes to show that at least for the last six months, the

petitioner has not been arrested, despite of the fact that he has failed to obtain the anticipatory bail order showing that he has got no apprehension of his arrest by the police. The petitioner has now filed an affidavit of the complainant, stating that there is a partial compromise of complainant with him, whereas there is no compromise with the other co-accused. The possibility that the petitioner was successfully able to pressurize the complainant for all these months to obtain his (complainant's) affidavit is there. No ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out. Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.5.2015
sjks