

226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18786 of 2010 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 06, 2015

Brij Bhushan and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Bhim Sain Aggarwal

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Vikram Chaudhry, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Isha Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. R.K. Chugh, Advocate
for the respondent.

SABINA, J

Learned counsel for petitioners has submitted that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition to enable the petitioners to take up all the pleas available to them at the time of framing of charges, before the trial Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, personal appearance of the petitioner No.3- Smt. Veena Goel, before the trial Court, during trial, shall remain exempted subject to the following conditions:-

- i. petitioner 3 shall be represented through counsel;
- ii. shall not delay/stall the trial proceedings;
- iii. shall not dispute her identity as accused;
- iv. shall have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in her absence but in the presence of her counsel;
- v. shall appear before the Trial Court as and when required by the Trial Court;
- vi. any other condition which the learned Trial Court may impose.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

February 06, 2015