

that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation.

In the present case, the allegation against the petitioner is that he had made addition of Khasra No. 12/1 in the general power of attorney (Annexure P3) and special power of attorney (Annexure P4) and on the basis of the same, sale deeds had been executed in favour of the purchasers, whereas, the said attorneys had only been executed qua khasra no. 12/2. Arun Kumar had executed general power of attorney in favour of Sai Dutta Kumar. Sai Dutta Kumar had further executed special power of attorney (Annexure P4) in favour of the petitioner.

From the submissions made by the learned State counsel, it is evident that the allegations levelled against the petitioners are serious in nature and his custodial interrogation is necessary. So far as Khasra Nos. 12/1 and 12/2 are concerned, it is evident from para 2(1) of the petition itself that the said khasra numbers bear different khewat and khatoni numbers. Hence, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

January 15, 2015
arya