

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM M-13983 of 2015
Date of Decision: May 01, 2015

Rajinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 19 dated 09.03.2015, under Sections 498-A, 494, 323, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Longowal, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR is false. Learned counsel further contends that complaint was given on 07.03.2015, but FIR was registered on 09.03.2015.

I have considered the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

There are serious allegations against the petitioner to the effect that he had demanded dowry and harassed the complainant. Besides these allegations, the other allegations are to the effect that the petitioner

has performed another marriage. It has come in order dated 20.04.2015 of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur that before registering a case, a due enquiry was conducted. The contention of the counsel for the petitioner that no enquiry is conducted before lodging the FIR, cannot be accepted on the face of it in view of the finding recorded by learned Additional Sessions Judge.

In view of this, no ground for anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

May 01, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge