

It appears that while issuing notice of motion, a direction was issued by this court to record the statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise. A report has been received from the trial court. Operative part thereof reads thus:-

*“In compliance to the above said order, statement of complainant Parkashna wife of Victor Massy d/o Lal Chand r/o village Kahnawan, at present r/o Anukur Society, Vicroli Parke Site, Vicroli, West Mumbai has been recorded, wherein complainant stated that the matter has been compromised between her and accused Victor Massy, Shifa beg and Meena with the intervention of respectables of area and relatives, which is with free consent and without any pressure from any corner and no other case is pending between the parties. So, she does not want to prosecute her case against the accused and have no objection of quashing of instant FIR. Statements of accused Victor Massy, Shifa Beg and Meena also recorded to this effect. The compromise arrived at between parties seems to be with free consent and without any pressure from any corner. Further, as per report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. pending before the undersigned, only three accused namely Victor Massy, Shifa Beg and Meena are involved in the FIR and they are not proclaimed offender in this case”*

Compromise is in the interest of the parties and after the matter has been resolved by an amicable settlement, no useful purpose is likely to be served by continuance of the criminal proceedings. In view of the above, the present FIR and the